

THE EARTH IS NOT FLAT ... (and it's not over-heating either!)

Robert Griffith: 31 May 2011

Of course the earth is not flat! We all know that, don't we? However, that was a theory supported by millions of intelligent people once upon a time. That theory ran its course and finally disappeared when the evidence just couldn't support it.

Well our world has now been taken captive by another theory: *the earth is over-heating and WE are causing it*. This too will pass ... but unlike the above theory, this one is being propagated in a very different age where we have global media, internet blogs, twitter, Facebook and billions of dollars invested to convince people that humans are destroying the planet by emitting too much carbon dioxide (a natural gas which is essential to our planet's existence).

Our current Prime Minister is about to invest 20 million dollars of our money on advertising to convince an increasingly suspicious electorate that the flawed theory of global warming needs immediate action in the form of an industry-killing, economy-crippling carbon tax. So before she does that, I am begging you to read just a few of the MANY facts about this issue which continually get overlooked and ignored in this mind-numbing debate.

Carbon Dioxide Levels

- > The amount of carbon dioxide in the total mix of atmospheric gases only is 0.4%
- > Of that 0.4%, only 3% of that is caused by human activity and 97% is occurs naturally.
- > Australia is only responsible for just 1.5% of that 3%.
- > So Australia's total responsibility for human-produced carbon dioxide is about 0.0005%

On the basis of the above figures the Australian government now plans to rip the guts out of our economy, destroy our international competitiveness and bring our industrial sector to its knees ... for no measurable environmental benefit whatsoever!

Why have so many people fallen for this ridiculous idea that the 3% of Co2 we humans produce is going to overheat and destroy our planet ... when nobody seems worried about the 97% of Co2 which occurs naturally and has done so for millions of years ... and we are still here!

Temperature Increases actually PRECEDE carbon dioxide increases

Every record we have throughout all history shows that temperature increases always come before increases in carbon dioxide – the other way around! There is ZERO EVIDENCE anywhere in history which says the opposite and yet that is the underlying assumption behind this multi-billion dollar fiasco called the climate change movement.

There is only ONE PLACE in the world where Co2 increases precede temperature increases and that is in the flawed IPCC computer models!

(Note: IPCC is the *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* whose reports and models are the foundation for nearly all decisions made by pro-climate change governments worldwide)

So how did we get here?

How could this ridiculous scenario unfold in the first place? Well there are a number of different answers but here are a couple of key ones. It is now a matter of public record that corrupt data was injected to form the foundation of the hypothesis used to develop the IPCC models which then became climate change 'gospel' to so many scientists and governments.

From 1812 to 2004, over 90,000 atmospheric Co2 readings were taken. When Co2 data was being gathered for the IPCC, a British Chemist was very selective in the data he extracted from these 90,000 readings. The result was that he chose the lower Co2 figures from the pre-industrial era data to use as a starting point (approx. 250 parts per million). This made it much easier to show a rise in Co2 levels during the industrial period which followed.

More recently, however, Ernst-Georg Beck from Germany published a paper analysing those 90,000 measurements of atmospheric Co2 and he found that the data had been 'cherry-picked' for the IPCC and in fact the pre-industrial figure should have been more like 350ppm, which incidentally is very similar to current levels! Lower pre-industrial period figures were deliberately selected to support the 'theory' that Co2 levels, and then global temperatures, had risen significantly due to human activity.

The FACTS say something very different. Over the past century global temperatures actually rose the most during the period 1900 – 1940, during which time we had two world wars and the great depression. During that time the rise in Co2 levels was minimal. However, during the period 1940-1980, human-produced Co2 levels rose dramatically, and yet global temperatures actually dropped slightly during the same period!

IPCC: Flawed Foundations

Now not only was the original data flawed, the foundational terms of reference for the IPCC and therefore the whole basis of the resulting climate change 'science' was seriously skewed. The panel was given the task of examining human-induced climate change only and all the computer models were set up to do just that, thereby ignoring the other 97% of Co2 emissions which are produced naturally. Even as a non-scientist, I can see how stupid that is if you want to find the truth about Co2 emissions and their impact on global temperatures!

This unbelievably narrow definition of climate change and the implicit assumption that human activity was the primary or even sole cause, skewed ALL data from that point forward and guaranteed the outcome of the research from the beginning. In simple terms, they had a desired outcome (humans are causing damaging climate change) and so they structured the studies and manipulated the data to guarantee that outcome!

How SHOULD it have happened if the IPCC was to have any integrity? The answer is simple. They should have conducted this like all professional scientists have for years. The normal and accepted scientific method is simple: you present a hypothesis based on certain assumptions, and then you submit it for scientific scrutiny. Other scientists then test, challenge and try to disprove or confirm that hypothesis. That is how science is supposed to work, but with the climate change debate the consensus was actually reached before the research was even done!

So when scientists now try and refute that hypothesis (which is what they are supposed to do in the scientific community) they are marginalised, politically labelled as 'right wing' ... or worse still, they have their government funding threatened. Some respected, award-winning scientists are even facing law suits right now for speaking out against the 'settled science' of climate change. Is there any wonder that 'the majority of the world's scientists' have allegedly fallen in line behind the IPCC? That is a perception only. The fact is, many of them are just remaining silent and scratching their heads in disbelief.

Herein lies a HUGE problem ... when you come out and publicly declare (like Al Gore and even some of the IPCC reps and our own Prime Minister) that 'the science is settled' ... you are stuck on that path defending your credibility, So when data comes up which conflicts with your basic assumptions – you are either going to cover it up, corrupt and alter it .. or discredit those who supply the data and label their supporters 'shock jocks', 'deniers' or 'conspiracy theorists.'

Two senior members of the IPCC itself have recently admitted that there has not been any global warming in recent decades.

"The fact is, we can't account for the lack of warming at the moment and it's a travesty that we can't." - Kevin E. Trenberth of the National Centre for Atmospheric Research

"There has not been any statistically significant warming since 1955." Professor Phil Jones (Director of the Climate Research Institute)

So this supposed 'settled science' tells us that the rise of Co2 over the past 50 years has been human-induced and yet they cannot account for the fact that there has not been any warming as a result. So the entire foundational premise of this multi-billion dollar movement is blown to pieces!

Hello? Is there anyone else out there wondering how this lie has continued to be perpetrated so long? They may as well be telling us that the earth is flat again!

A few weeks ago we had a deluded Pastor in America telling us that the world would end on 21st May 2011. Some poor folk believed him because they needed to or wanted to. Most of us just dismissed his claims because there was no evidence to support them, Biblical or otherwise. The date passed, and we all forgot about it.

WARNING: There is no date on this climate change 'prophecy' ... so this one is not going to go away anytime soon unless the bulk of the population start thinking for themselves and accept that the evidence presented to support human-induced climate change is about as reliable as all other doomsday predictions. The difference with this one is governments are believing it and acting in radical and damaging ways which will impact us all FAR more than their alleged climate changes!

In summary:

As you try to form a considered opinion on this important issue, I want to suggest that there are really just two simple questions we need answered:

- a) Is the planet overheating?
- b) If yes, is it human-related carbon dioxide causing this?

FACT: Over the past 10 years, the human-related Co2 emissions have actually risen 5%. That is a very significant rise! However, global temperatures have decreased over the same period by 0.05%. (oops, that must be awkward for them!)

That one fact alone invalidates the whole hypothesis which lies beneath the climate change paranoia which has gripped our world. At this point in time there is NO evidence to support that increased Co2 emissions cause an increase in global warming.

Evidence may be found in years to come as we continue our research, but until that evidence is presented and tested appropriately and rigorously, we need to stop this 'carbon footprint' paranoia and start spending our money on some REAL human needs!

So what should happen now? Simple really: We should go back to square one and start operating on the assumption that any and all changes to the climate we may or may not observe are occurring NATURALLY and are beyond the control of humans (until we are given sufficient verifiable evidence to believe otherwise) and stop wasting billions on a myth.

Since 1988, when the United Nations formed the IPCC – the 'experts' who advise us on climate change, it is estimated that over 100 billion dollars has been spent looking for a significant human signature on global warming ... BUT THEY CANNOT FIND ONE because if it is there at all it is so small it is lost in the natural variations of the climate which have been around much longer than humanity!

Human activity has definitely increased the emission of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere, but the level of human-induced Co2 is so small in the total Co2 component it cannot be accurately distinguished from the natural variation and there is a similar absence of clear evidence to say that we should be worried about the increase in Co2 anyway!

A global consensus?

Climate change campaigners have been trying to silence their critics by continually telling us how many countries are now on board. Let's be clear here. The USA, China, India and many other nations are not on board at all and others are starting to back away from previous commitments as the lack of clear evidence is exposed. Canada, Japan and Russia have now withdrawn from the second round of Kyoto-style carbon dioxide cuts. The largest economy in the world (USA) continues to stand firm in opposing the knee-jerk, economy-killing responses of other nations. The world is waking up.

Critics of this climate change movement have been ridiculed, defamed, discredited, demonised and even sued in court ... and yet the rank and file people in many nations are gradually starting to use their brains and question the whole basis of this fear-driven propaganda. Governments like ours are trying to save face now and recapture the support this movement had a few years ago. They are therefore bringing out the big guns and throwing millions of dollars into advertising propping up their flawed assumptions and condemning their critics as they try and convince an increasingly sceptical electorate that a tax on carbon dioxide emissions is necessary and important!

DON'T LET THIS HAPPEN. A carbon tax will have ZERO impact on climate change - but it will have a HUGE impact on our economy and our ability to compete on the world stage. Write to your local member. Write to the Prime Minister. Stand up and be counted. We want FACTS, not political spin. If those facts should ever emerge, then we should be focussing on getting the USA, India, China and other nations on board BEFORE we impose a tax on our nation and destroy our competitiveness in the marketplace.

You can label us 'climate change deniers', but we are really just intelligent people refuting the whose basis of fact beneath the propaganda which has been masquerading as truth for far too long. Billions of dollars have been spent on this fiasco and thousands of prominent people have put their reputation on the line as they have come out in support of this 'settled science'.

I know many Aussies are just fed up with the whole debate and don't want to hear any more. (That's what the Government is banking on!). However, we have to push past that feeling because this is really serious and we need to stop our government from taking us down this road without some irrefutable evidence for human-induced climate change and the effectiveness of a carbon tax in Australia in 2011 in addressing that issue.

In closing, let me issue a strong warning. There are those who believe Julia Gillard will lose the next election and so the new government can just throw out the carbon tax. Whilst that is certainly possible, there is one problem: the chances of the Senate being controlled by the Greens again or independents is very high and so repealing the carbon tax legislation may never happen. We need to act NOW before it is too late.

We all looked on in disbelief a few weeks ago when reports came in that people had sold all their possessions and were throwing money at this deceived Pastor who said the world was going to end. Well I am sorry if the comparison offends anyone, but Julia Gillard is about to rip billions of dollars out of my country and yours and throw it away on a 'prophecy' which is just as flawed.

I have five children and four grandchildren and I am as concerned about our environment as anyone and we do have lots of issues to address in keeping it safe and clean for future generations, but the greatest threat I see to my family at present is the economic disaster this movement is about to inflict on their ability to work and live and provide for their children. Unlike the theory of human-induced climate disasters, this threat is VERY real and immediate.

May God give us wisdom to cut through this quagmire and find the truth.

Click [HERE](#) to give Robert feedback on this article